PETITION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2254 FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

Unite	d States District Court	Dis	trict: We	stern	
Name	(under which you were convicted):				Docket or Case No.:
Isaac	Perdomo-Paz				
	of Confinement:			Prisoner No.:	
Soutr	n Central Correctional Center			1247167	
Petitio	oner (include the name under which you were convicted) Isaac Perdomo-Paz V.		pondent		aving custody of petitioner) Buckner
- Γhe A	ttorney General of the State of: Missouri				
	PETIT	ION			
	(a) Name and location of court that entered the judgmen	nt of	onvictio	a vou are challen	aina:
	Circuit Court of Clay County, Missouri	01 (OHVICHO	i you are chanth	g.,,
	Circuit Court of Clay County, Missouri				
	(b) Criminal docket or case number (if you know):	11C	Y-CR02	374	
!.	(a) Date of the judgment of conviction (if you know):	10/2	6/2012		
	(b) Date of sentencing: 01/24/2013				
	Length of sentence: Life without parole				
	In this case, were you convicted on more than one coun	t or o	f more th	an one crime?	v Yes □ No
	Identify all crimes of which you were convicted and sea	ntence	d in this	case: Murder in	n the first degree (2
	counts), murder in the second degree, armed crir	ninal	action (3	3 counts)	
•	(a) What was your plea? (Check one)	_	(2)		
	(1) Not guilty		(3)	Nolo contende	re (no contest)
	☐ (2) Guilty		(4)	Insanity plea	

you seek further revie	w by a higher state court?	Yes 🗆 No	
If yes, answer the fol	llowing:		
(1) Name of court:	Missouri Supreme Court		
(2) Docket or case nu	umber (if you know):	SC95257	
(3) Result:	Application for transfer wa	s denied	

		(4) Date of result (if you know): 10/27/2015
		(5) Citation to the case (if you know): N/A
		(6) Grounds raised: Whether the police can continue to detain and demand identification
		from a passenger of an vehicle without individualized suspicion relating to the passenger
		after the purpose of the initial stop has been completed and the questioning is not related
		to the purpose of the traffic stop
	(h) Di	d you file a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court?
		If yes, answer the following:
		(1) Docket or case number (if you know):
		(2) Result:
		(3) Date of result (if you know):
		(4) Citation to the case (if you know):
10.	Other	than the direct appeals listed above, have you previously filed any other petitions, applications, or motions
	conce	rning this judgment of conviction in any state court?
1	If you	r answer to Question 10 was "Yes," give the following information:
	(a)	(1) Name of court: Circuit Court of Clay County
		(2) Docket or case number (if you know): 16CY-CV00334
		(3) Date of filing (if you know): 01/19/2016
		(4) Nature of the proceeding: Post-conviction relief, pursuant to Rule 29.15
		(5) Grounds raised: (1) Ineffective assistance of counsel in failing to object to evidence pertaining
		to my Jeep; (2) Ineffective assistance of counsel in failing to object to evidence pertaining to
		my arrest; (3) Ineffective assistance of counsel in failing to object to the State's closing
		argument as not supported by facts and calling for an improper inference; (4) Ineffective
		assistance of appellate counsel in failing to raise the claim that the trial court abused its
		discretion in admitting evidence pertaining to my arrest.
		(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your petition, application, or motion?
		of Yes □ No
		(7) Result: Denied

(8) Date of result (if you know): 10/16/2017
(b) If you filed any second petition, application, or motion, give the same information:
(1) Name of court: Court of Appeals, Western District
(2) Docket or case number (if you know): WD81257
(3) Date of filing (if you know): 09/13/2018
(4) Nature of the proceeding: Appeal of denial of post-conviction relief
(5) Grounds raised: Ground 1 above
(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your petition, application, or motion?
☐ Yes ③ No
(7) Result: Affirmed
(8) Date of result (if you know): 04/16/2019
(c) If you filed any third petition, application, or motion, give the same information:
(1) Name of court:
(2) Docket or case number (if you know):
(3) Date of filing (if you know):
(4) Nature of the proceeding:
(5) Grounds raised:

AO 24	Rev _s 09/17)
	(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your petition, application, or motion?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	(7) Result:
	(8) Date of result (if you know):
	(d) Did you appeal to the highest state court having jurisdiction over the action taken on your petition, application,
	or motion?
	(1) First petition: Yes No
	(2) Second petition: ☐ Yes ☐ No
	(3) Third petition:
	(e) If you did not appeal to the highest state court having jurisdiction, explain why you did not:
12.	For this petition, state every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the facts supporting each ground. Any legal arguments must be submitted in a separate memorandum.
GROU	state-court remedies on each ground on which you request action by the federal court. Also, if you fail to set forth all the grounds in this petition, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds at a later date. DONE: See attached.
(a) Sup	orting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):
b) If y	did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground One, explain why:

	Direct Appeal of Ground One:								
	(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?		Yes	О	No				
	(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:								
os	t-Conviction Proceedings:								
	(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas co	rpus	in a state	e trial o	court?				
	☐ Yes ☐ No								
	(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:								
	Type of motion or petition:								
	Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:								
	Docket or case number (if you know):								
	Date of the court's decision:								
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):								
	(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?	0	Yes	0	No				
	(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?	o	Yes	٥	No				
	(5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?		Yes		No				
	(6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:								
	Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:								
	Docket or case number (if you know):								
	Date of the court's decision:								
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):								
	(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did	not ra	sise this	issue:					

Docket or case number (if you know):

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):				
(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?		Yes	0	No
(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?	П	Yes	0	No
(5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?	_	Yes	_	No
(6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:				- 10
Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:				
Docket or case number (if you know):				
Date of the court's decision:				
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):				
(7) If your gnewer to Question (dV4) or Question (d)(5) is "No." explain why you di	d not m	niao thia		
(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did	d not r	aise this	issue:	
Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administra				ıat y
				aat y
Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administra				aat y
Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administra				aat y
Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administra				aat y
Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administra have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Two:				aat y
Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administra have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Two:	tive re			aat y
Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administra have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Two:	tive re			aat y
Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administra have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Two:	tive re			at y
Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administra have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Two:	tive re			at y

AO 24	1 (Rev. 09/17)						
	(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did not raise this issue:						
(e)							
	Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative remedies, etc.) that you						
	have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Three:						
GRO	OUND FOUR:						
(a) St	apporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):						
(b) If	you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Four, explain why:						
(c)	Direct Appeal of Ground Four:						
	(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?						
	(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:						
(d)	Post-Conviction Proceedings:						
	(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a state trial court? □ Yes □ No						
	(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:						
	Type of motion or petition:						

(e)

Docket or case number (if you know):						
Date of the court's decision:						
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):						
(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?	0	Yes	□ No			
(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?	٥	Yes	□ No			
(5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?	σ	Yes	□ No			
(6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:						
Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:						
Docket or case number (if you know):						
Date of the court's decision:						
	not ra	ise this	issue:			
(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did						
(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did						
(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did						
(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrati		nedies, o	etc.) that you			
Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrati		nedies, o	etc.) that you			
		nedies, d	etc.) that you			
Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrati		nedies, o	etc.) that you			

(a)	
	Have all grounds for relief that you have raised in this petition been presented to the highest state court having jurisdiction? Yes No No If your answer is "No," state which grounds have not been so presented and give your reason(s) for not presenting them:
(b)	Is there any ground in this petition that has not been presented in some state or federal court? If so, whice ground or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for not presenting them: No.
that you If "Yes raised,	ou previously filed any type of petition, application, or motion in a federal court regarding the conviction a challenge in this petition? Yes No "state the name and location of the court, the docket or case number, the type of proceeding, the issues the date of the court's decision, and the result for each petition, application, or motion filed. Attach a copy court opinion or order, if available.

(a) At preliminary City, MO 64105	
(b) At arraignment	and plea: Same as above
(c) At trial:	Same as above
(d) At sentencing:	Same as above
(e) On appeal:	Rosemary Percival, 920 Main Street, Suite 500, Kansas City, MO 64105
(f) In any post-conv	viction proceeding: Natalie Hull, 920 Main Street, Suite 500, Kansas City, MO
	any ruling against you in a post-conviction proceeding: Natalie Hull Hoge, 920 Mair 0, Kansas City, MO 64105
challenging?	The sentence to serve after you complete the sentence for the judgment that you are '' Yes 'No and location of court that imposed the other sentence you will serve in the future:
challenging? (a) If so, give name (b) Give the date th	The earlier of the sentence was imposed:
challenging? (a) If so, give name (b) Give the date th (c) Give the length	☐ Yes ☑ No and location of court that imposed the other sentence you will serve in the future:
challenging? (a) If so, give name (b) Give the date th (c) Give the length (d) Have you filed, future? TIMELINESS OF I	☐ Yes ☑ No and location of court that imposed the other sentence you will serve in the future: e other sentence was imposed: of the other sentence: or do you plan to file, any petition that challenges the judgment or sentence to be served

O 241 (F	Rev. 09/17)

- (1) A one-year period of limitation shall apply to an application for a writ of habeas corpus by a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court. The limitation period shall run from the latest of-
 - (A) the date on which the judgment became final by the conclusion of direct review or the expiration of the time for seeking such review;
 - (B) the date on which the impediment to filing an application created by State action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the applicant was prevented from filing by such state action;
 - (C) the date on which the constitutional right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if the right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or
 - (D) the date on which the factual predicate of the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.

^{*} The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA") as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) provides in part that:

(2)

respect to the pertinent judgment or claim is pending shall not be counted toward any period of limitation under this subsection.	
Therefore, petitioner asks that the Court grant the following relief:	Reverse my convictions
and order a new trial.	
or any other relief to which petitioner may be entitled.	
	Signature of Attomory (if any)
	Signature of Attorney (if any)
I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the	e foregoing is true and correct and that this Petition for
Writ of Habeas Corpus was placed in the prison mailing system on	(month, date, year).
witt of Habeas Corpus was placed in the prison maning system on	(morni, date, year).
Executed (signed) on (date).	
18	ac Perdono Paz
	Signature of Petitioner
If the person signing is not petitioner, state relationship to petitioner and explain why petitioner is not signing this petition.	

The time during which a properly filed application for State post-conviction or other collateral review with

12. Claims for Relief

Claim 1: The trial court erred in overruling my motion to suppress my statement to police on March 03, 2011 and in admitting this statement at my trial in violation of my right to due process and my right against self-incrimination. This statement was not admissible because I had unequivocally asserted my right to remain silent when I stated that I did not want to talk to detectives, however Detective Ray asked me, "Why not?"

Claim 2: The trial court erred in overruling my motion to suppress my statement to police on March 03, 2011 and in admitting this statement at my trial in violation of my right to due process and my right against self-incrimination because I did not voluntarily waive my right to be silent. I told detectives that I did not want to speak to them, yet I was still asked questions. I requested a water and bathroom break, however these requests were denied. My demeanor demonstrated that I was exhausted. Detective Ray was aggressive and demeaning during the interrogation.

Claim 3: The trial court erred in overruling my motion to suppress the testimony and evidence from the March 02, 2011 detention and arrest and the evidence that was the fruit of that detention and arrest because I was illegally detained and arrested. I was not free to leave despite the fact that officers lacked reasonable suspicion based on specific and articulable facts that I was involved in criminal activity. Further, officers lacked probable cause to arrest me.

Claim 4: The trial court erred in overruling my motion for judgment of acquittal at the close of the evidence, with regard to the counts of first degree murder, in violation of my right to due process of law and my right to a fair trial because the State failed to prove an element of first degree murder. The State failed to show the element of cool reflection before the shooting, rather the evidence demonstrated that the shootings occurred after a confrontation with one of the victims and that the shooting occurred before any cooling off period.

Claim 5: Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to object to the relevance of the evidence presented about a Jeep Cherokee that I was known to drive. Francisco Noriega, James Summers, and Bernabe Perdomo testified that this Jeep was sold to a scrapper after I was arrested. This evidence was more prejudicial than probative because there was no evidence presented to show that there was any connection between me and the eventual scrapping of the Jeep. There was no evidence presented that I had any part in this decision to scrap the vehicle.

Claim 6: Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to properly object to the relevance of testimony that I provided police with false information and attempted to run from officers prior to my arrest. Trial counsel failed to make an offer of proof which would have shown that there was an explanation for my actions at the time of my arrest which overcame the inference that these acts were evidence of my guilt. Had this offer of proof been made, this objection would have been sustained by the trial court.

Claim 7: Trial counsel was ineffective in failing to object to the State's closing argument because it was not supported by the facts presented at trial and it called for an improper inference. During its closing argument, the State argued that my father, Bernabe Perdomo, destroyed the Jeep that I was known to drive. However, the evidence presented at trial only showed that my father sold the Jeep to Mr. Noriega and that Mr. Noriega sold the Jeep to a scrap yard. There was no evidence presented that my father asked Mr. Noriega to have the Jeep destroyed. Further, there was no evidence that I was in any way involved in the decision to scrap the Jeep.

During its closing argument, the State also suggested that the Jeep contained physical evidence of the offenses for which I was convicted. However, there was no evidence presented at my trial that the Jeep contained any physical evidence.